

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
TONY ALEXANDER
C/O PORTFOLIOIP
P.O. BOX 52050
MINNEAPOLIS. MN 55402

PCT

P.O. BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	06 MAY ZUUS	
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER		
100.0026PCT				
International application No.	nternational filing date	te (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US04/31886 2 International Patent Classification (IPC) or	9 September 2004 (29.		30 September 2003 (30.09.2003)	
	COM IMMORAL CARSON INC.			
IPC(7): A61F 2/06 and US C1.: 623/1.12 Applicant				
ALVEOLUS INC.				
1. This opinion contains indications relating	ng to the following item	ns:		
Box No. I Basis of the op	inion			
Box No. II Priority	Priority			
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV Lack of unity	Lack of unity of invention			
Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; c	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
Box No. VI Certain docum	Certain documents cited			
Box No. VII Certain defects	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application			
Box No. VIII Certain observ	ations on the internation	mal application		
_				
International Preliminary Examining	Authority ("IPEA") ex IPEA and the chosen l	tcept that this does IPEA has notified th	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an e International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) dered.	
IPEA a written reply together, where mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before	appropriate, with am e the expiration of 22:	endments, before th	EA, the applicant is invited to submit to the se expiration of 3 months from the date of crity date, whichever expires later.	
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA	J220.			
3. For further details, see notes to Form P	C1/[SA/220.	\bigcap		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	<u> </u>	Authorized office		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents Brian Pelletring				
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		Telephone No.	03-308-0858	
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 20	04)			



International application No.	
PCT/US04/31886	

Box No. I Basis of this opinion	
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 	h
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	,1
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
a. type of material	
a sequence listing	
table(s) related to the sequence listing	•
b. format of material	
in written format	
in computer readable form	
c. time of filing/furnishing	
contained in international application as filed.	
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4. Additional comments:	
·	



International application No. PCT/US04/31886

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	8,10 and 11	YES
	Claims	1-7 and 9	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	NONE	YES
-	Claims	1-11	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11	YES
••	Claims	NONE	МО

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-7,9 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Giantureo et al. '706. Giantureo discloses (Fig. 6) a stent with interconnected segments and have the support frame surrounded by a thread 40. Fig. 4 shows the stent is tubular and that deflection elements 20 are arranged on the circumference of the frame and on the end-side. Fig. 5 also shows the deflection has deflection elements 20' arranged on the inner side of the stent facing the middle and the adjacent annular segment 10" has a deflection element 20". Fig. 10B shows thread ends 56 coupled by connector 70. With respect to claim 9, it can be construed that additional guide elements are provided in the frame, see Fig. 3.

Claim 8 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Giantureo et al. Giantureo is explained above. Giantureo fails to disclose the connector tube is of a material that is x-ray visible. It is well known in the art to use radiopaque markers in delivery tubes. It would have been obvious to incorporate a marker in the connector tube of Giantureo to permit the surgeon to better see the end of the device as they remove the stent.

Claims 1-4,9 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Bolea et al. in view of Giantureo et al. Bolea et al. disclose (Fig. 16) a tubular stent with segments interconnected and having two deflection elements 170 at the end-side. Fig. 19 shows the stent has a thread 80 guided from the outside into the support frame through the deflection elements. Fig. 18 shows the stent having additional guide elements. However, Bolea fails to disclose the thread ends are coupled by a connector. It should be noted that Bolea mentions the ends of the thread should be kept out of the lumen, paragraph 61. Giantureo teaches a tube or connector to hold the ends of the thread (Fig. 10A). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a connector or tube as taught by Giantureo with the stent apparatus of Bolea such that the ends of the thread can be kept out of the lumen of the vessel and prevent inadvertent blockage.

Claims 10,11 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the immediately preceding paragraph and further in view of Cox. Bolea as modified by Giantureo fail to disclose the annular segments are formed by struts and coupled with connector struts or a longitudinal section or spine running along the longitudinal axis. Cox shows (Figs. 2,9) a spine or longitudinal section along the axis. It can also be seen the strut section has a U-shape and is transverse with the spine since they extend across the spine. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a spine as taught by Cox in the stent of Bolea as modified by Giantureo such that it prevents foreshortening of the stent upon implantation.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/31886

Box No. VII	Certain	defects in	the internation	onal application
-------------	---------	------------	-----------------	------------------

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

The drawings are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(iii) as containing the following defect(s) in the form or content thereof: the reference numbers are not plain and legible. Additionally black shading is not permitted because it prevents a clear illustration of the elements.

Claims 4-11 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(iii) as containing the following defect(s) in the form or contents thereof: dependent claims which are drafted as multiple dependent cannot depend from other multiple dependent claims.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/31886

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the interna-	tional application
--------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 4-6,9,11 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claim 4 is indefinite for the following reason(s): the claim recites "the end-side annular segment" which lacks antecedent basis. Claims 5,6 recite "the inner side" which lacks antecedent basis. Claim 6 also recites "the outer side of the annular segment" that lacks antecedent basis. Claim 9 recites "additional guide elements" that lacks antecedent basis. Claim 11 recites "each connector strut" which lacks antecedent basis.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VIII) (January 2004)